Appendix 1: Capital Programme of Planned Traffic, Road Safety and Parking Schemes – 2014/15

Item	Project	Description/Rationale	Estimated Costs(£,000)	Recommended priority
1	Town Centre On – Street Parking Review	There are a number of areas where additional parking can be created by re-aligning bays/reducing restrictions. Further benefit can be gained for disabled drivers by restricting on street disabled bays to a maximum waiting limit of 2 hours to ensure a turnover of availability (includes limited waiting bays which disabled drivers may wait in for unlimited period). Longer term parking remains available within town centre car parks. Consolidation of Town Centre Parking Order to introduce all amendments since 2005 into one Order.	50	High, income potential, better provision for disabled shoppers, vehicle turnover advantageous to businesses
2	Colchester Road PMS extension	PMS This project was agreed in 2012 however due to other prioritised projects, is yet to be completed. The project will be subject to an informal consultation by officers (members evidenced initial support in 2012) with the policy requirement of 40% response rate and 70% of those responses in support prior to any progression.		High, ongoing project since 2012 when members evidenced support for a scheme
3	Cromwell Road	Traffic & Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee - Proposal is to investigate/implement amendments to traffic flows.	15	High, on work programme 13/14
4	Windermere Road	rere Road Traffic & Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee — Proposal is to investigate/implement amendments to traffic flows.		High, on work programme 13/14
5	Borough wide Accident prevention/remedial minor works/TRO's - This work is generated from Ward Members Requests for junction protections, yellow line waiting and loading restrictions etc. Scheme implementation is dependent on the outcome of statutory consultation and decision of the Traffic & Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee.		100	High, accident prevention, traffic flow
6	Borough Wide	Accident remedial major investigations/remedial works resulting from analysis of trends of the personal injury accident.	100	High, accident investigation and prevention

Project	Description/Rationale	Estimated Costs(£,000)	Recommended priority	
7	Signage Declutter Project	A project to meet Government requirements to assess and reduce traffic signage. The projects coincides with the proposed review of the current legislation which relaxes regulatory requirements for lighting of signs, signs to be repeated at specific intervals, general reduction in signage requirements such as signage on both sides of a road. A policy to ensure un-necessary signage not be installed is also required.	100	Medium, Government requirement and ongoing savings of energy costs and maintenance to be achieved as per revenue budget target saving agreed February 2014
8	Verge Hardening See appendix for locations/costs	Verge hardening proposals, these works will require consultation and the support of residents in accordance with the committee report of March 2014. Members are requested to select streets to meet maximum budget available.	100	Medium
9	Eastern Avenue	Provision of Guardrail near to school entrance (Temple Sutton)	25	Low, no accidents where pedestrians involved in this section, guardrail provision should be reduced as part of de clutter
10	Disabled Parking Bays for Individuals	Ongoing provision of advisory bays to those meeting the agreed criteria.	5	Low, not safety issue
11	Verge/Footway parking prohibitions	The proposed legislative changes due in 2015 are likely to introduce an entry sign which advises drivers of such prohibitions rather than the numerous signs currently required. Suggest the Council defer any new signage provision, including verge parking prohibition signs until the new regulations are introduced.	0	Low, new regulations will drastically reduce signage requirements
Total Estimated Cost (£,000)			585	
Allocated L	TP3 Capital Budget 2013	485		

Note: As the estimated cost of the schemes on these programmes listed above is £100,000 greater than the allocated/available funding, the prioritisation of the individual schemes within the various work programmes is to be agreed by Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee.

Appendix 2 Verge Hardening Requests

Ref No.	Date Reported (Month/Year)	Member	Subject of Request	Estimated Costs to remove verge, lower kerb, reinstate to carriageway construction – excl consultation costs and staff time	Comments and no of spaces created inc average cost per space Note – no of spaces is not additional to existing parking availability, only allowing existing parking on the verge area
13/12	Sept 13	Cllr Brown	Rockleigh Avenue – Verges Harden.	25	Number of driveways and trees present. 10 spaces - £2,500 per space
13/20	Mar 13	Cllr Cox	Blythe Avenue.	96	Large number of driveways and majority of properties have adequate frontage to create off street parking, 44 Spaces - £2,200 per space
14/pet	Mar 13	-	Petition to verge harden Woodfield Park Drive – agreed March committee subject to funding and prioritisation.	48	Number of trees and driveways, one side already hardened. 23 spaces - £2100 per space
14/1a	Jan 14	Cllr Woodley	Request verge hardening Brunswick Road to accommodate parking.	35	Majority of properties have off street parking provision; some trees. 11 Spaces £3,200 per space
14/3a	April 14	Cllr Wexham	Request verge hardening Dundonald Drive west side.	61	Number of trees and driveways, 25 spaces £2,450 per space
14/4a	May 2014	Cllr Longley	Verge Hardening works Bridgewater Drive A127 to Mendip.	25	Number of driveways and trees, 9 spaces, 2,770 per space